MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

CYPRESS FAIRBANKS MEDICAL CENTER C/O LAW OFFICE OF P MATTHEW ONEILL 6514 MCNEIL DR BLDG 2 STE 201 AUSTIN TX 78729 DWC Claim #: Injured Employee: Date of Injury: Employer Name: Insurance Carrier #:

Respondent Name

LUMBERMENS MUTUAL CASUALTY CO

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 21

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-98-8420-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Before September 1, 1992, insurers reimbursed the hospital 96% of customary charges for inpatient services in workers' compensation cases. On that date, the Texas Workers' Compensation Commission enacted the 'Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee' guidelines. Under those guidelines, hospitals could now recover only per diem rates for inpatient workers' compensation patients - \$600 for medical; \$1100 for surgical; and \$1600 for ICU/CCU. After September 1, 1992, you paid the hospital at those guideline rates...I enclose a chart the hospital has prepared. The chart lists the inpatient workers' compensation cases for which you were the insurer. The chart shows the customary charges, the payments, and the balance due (96% of customary charges less payments). I also am enclosing the billing data for each patient listed showing the customary charges. Therefore, we are now requesting reimbursement for the difference between the amount you paid the hospital under the guidelines and the amount the hospital would have been paid had the guidelines not been in effect. I would ask that you pay the balance due for these patients directly to the hospital. I hope you will do so without the necessity of administrative or court proceedings."

Amount in Dispute: \$2,907.52

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "In accordance with Rule 133.305 (a) the above noted hospital failed to dispute charges within one calendar year after the dates of service in dispute. In accordance with Rule 133.305(a) carrier was not notified by certified mail by the hospital or their representative of the charges being disputed."

Response Submitted by: Kemper, 12377 Merit Dr, Ste 1400 LB 76, P.O. Box 749010, Dallas, TX 75374

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
May 17, 1995 Through May 18, 1995	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$2,907.52	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. Former 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305, effective June 3, 1991, 16 *Texas Register* 2830, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. Former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f) effective October 7, 1991, 16 *Texas Register* 5210, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for the services in dispute.
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
- 4. This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on November 13, 1997.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - Attached bill previously paid in accordance with usual and customary fees.
 - 938-This hospital per diem fee schedule has been reviewed in accordance with the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission Fee Schedule 134.400.
 - 364- Please submit copy of invoice or additional documentation detailing providers costs.
 - 907-Texas required bill identification.
 - 999-Reviewed charges.
 - 939-The services have been reviewed in accordance with Texas Worker's Compensation Commission hospital in-patient fee schedule 134.400.

Findings

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(a), effective June 3, 1991, 16 Texas Register 2830, requires that "A request for review of medical services and dispute resolution, as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act (the Act), §8.26, shall be submitted to the commission at the division of medical review in Austin, no later than one calendar year after the date(s) of service in dispute." The applicability of the one-year filing deadline from the date(s) of service in dispute was confirmed in the court's opinion in Hospitals and Hospital Systems v. Continental Casualty Company, 109 South Western Reporter Third 96 (Texas Appeals Austin, 2003, petition for review denied). Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.3(a)(1), effective January 1, 1991, 15 Texas Register 6747, "In counting a period of time measured by days, the first day is excluded and the last day is included." The request for dispute resolution of services rendered on date of service May 17, 1995 through May 18, 1995 was received by the Division on November 13, 1997. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the request was submitted more than one year after the date of service. The Division finds that the request for dispute resolution was not submitted timely. The Division concludes that requestor has not met the requirements of §133.305(a).
- 2. This dispute relates to inpatient hospital services. The former agency's Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.400, 17 TexReg 4949, was declared invalid in the case of Texas Hospital Association v. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, 911 South Western Reporter Second 884 (Texas Appeals Austin, 1995, writ of error denied January 10, 1997). As no specific fee guideline existed for acute care inpatient hospital services during the time period that the disputed services were rendered, the 1991 version of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f) applies as the proper Division rule to address fee payment issues in this dispute, as confirmed by the Court's opinion in All Saints Health System v. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, 125 South Western Reporter Third 96 (Texas Appeals Austin, 2003, petition for review denied). 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f), effective October 7, 1991, 16 Texas Register 5210, requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, sec. 8.21(b), until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."
- 3. The former Texas Workers' Compensation Act section 8.21 was repealed, effective September 1, 1993 by Acts 1993, 73rd Legislature, chapter 269, section 5(2). Therefore, for services rendered on or after September 1, 1993, the applicable statute is the former version of Texas Labor Code section 413.011(b), Acts 1993, 73rd Legislature, chapter 269, section 1, effective September 1, 1993, which states, in pertinent part, that "Guidelines for medical services fees must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. The commission shall consider the increased security of payment afforded by this subtitle."
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(d)(7), effective June 3, 1991, 16 *Texas Register* 2830, requires that the request shall include "copies of all written communications and memoranda relating to the dispute."

Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the request does not include a copy of medical records, EOB's or other written communications and memoranda pertinent to the dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.305(d)(7).

- 5. Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
 - The requestor's position statement asserts that "Before September 1, 1992, insurers reimbursed the hospital 96% of customary charges for inpatient services in workers' compensation cases ...I enclose a chart the hospital has prepared. The chart lists the inpatient workers' compensation cases for which you were the insurer. The chart shows the customary charges, the payments, and the balance due (96% of customary charges less payments)."
 - The Division notes that former Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §42.110(b)(2) is not applicable to the services in dispute. As noted above, the 1991 version of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f) applies as the proper Division rule to address fee payment issues in this dispute, as confirmed by the Court's opinion in *All Saints Health System v. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission*, 125 *South Western Reporter Third* 96 (Texas Appeals Austin, 2003, petition for review denied).
 - Review of the submitted document finds that the data does not support the reimbursement amount sought by requestor.
 - The Division finds that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a hospital's billed charges, or a percentage of billed charges, does not produce an acceptable payment amount. Such a reimbursement methodology would leave the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs. Therefore, a reimbursement amount that is calculated based upon a percentage of a hospital's billed charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
 - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the amount sought would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
 - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the disputed services.
 - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(d). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

		. 0:	
Auth	orized	d Siar	nature

		11/04/2011	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.